

**PCT**WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau

## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>4</sup> :</b> <b>E21B 43/22, C12N 1/26</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 89/10463</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 2 November 1989 (02.11.89)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/AU89/00149 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 5 April 1989 (05.04.89) <b>(30) Priority data:</b> PI 7817 19 April 1988 (19.04.88) AU <b>(71) Applicant:</b> B.W.N. VORTOIL SERVCO PTY. LTD. [AU/ AU]; 4 Park Drive, Dandenong, VIC 3175 (AU). <b>(72) Inventor:</b> SHEEHY, Alan ; 31 Capella Crescent, Giralang, ACT 2617 (AU). <b>(74) Agents:</b> LESLIE, Keith et al.; Davies & Collison, 1 Little Collins Street, Melbourne, VIC 3000 (AU).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> AT, AT (European patent), AU, BB, BE (European patent), BF (OAPI patent), BG, BJ (OA- PI patent), BR, CF (OAPI patent), CG (OAPI patent), CH, CH (European patent), CM (OAPI patent), DE, DE (European patent), DK, FI, FR (European patent), GA (OAPI patent), GB, GB (European patent), HU, IT (European patent), JP, KP, KR, LK, LU, LU (European patent), MC, MG, ML (OAPI patent), MR (OAPI pa- tent), MW, NL, NL (European patent), NO, RO, SD, SE, SE (European patent), SN (OAPI patent), SU, TD (OAPI patent), TG (OAPI patent).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i> <i>With amended claims.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> RECOVERY OF OIL FROM OIL RESERVOIRS  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  This invention relates to a process for recovery of oil from oil reservoirs with assistance from microorganisms. More particularly, the present invention contemplates a method for recovering oil from a reservoir comprising increasing the population of endogenous microorganisms in said reservoir, said microorganisms having surface active properties, to a level sufficient to effect enhanced oil recovery.		

***FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY***

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	ML	Mali
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	RO	Romania
BR	Brazil	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	LI	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CH	Switzerland	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
FI	Finland				

### RECOVERY OF OIL FROM OIL RESERVOIRS

This invention relates to a process for recovery of oil from oil reservoirs with assistance from microorganisms.

During primary oil production, the pressure  
5 within a reservoir decreases with a subsequent decline in oil production. To compensate for this production decrease water or gas is injected into the reservoir. This process is referred to as secondary oil production. During secondary production, the  
10 water to oil ratio increases until oil production is no longer economical. The residual oil, up to 65% of the original oil in place (OOIP), is distributed in a significantly different pattern to the OOIP. The failure of secondary oil production procedures to  
15 release trapped residual oil results from capillary forces in the oil/water/rock system and the failure of injected fluids to penetrate parts of the reservoir formation. Surfactants are used to lower

the interfacial tension between reservoir fluids and residual oil so that oil which cannot be removed by the injected fluids alone is displaced. Surfactants used in chemical EOR (enhanced oil recovery) show  
5 optimal activity over a narrow range of temperature, HLB (hydrophobic lipophilic balance) values, salinities and rock types. Thus, surfactant EOR processes are generally developed for individual reservoirs.

10 Surfactants derived from crude oil (e.g. petroleum sulphonates) have been shown in some field pilots to strip out residual oil but at a cost much higher than the market value of the oil recovered in this way. The surfactants are themselves expensive:  
15 they tend to adsorb to rock, and so large quantities are needed. Polymers, too, have had some successes, but again at a high cost. Both polyacrylamide, made from petroleum feedstocks, and the microbial product xanthan gum have been used: the former is less  
20 expensive but is not effective at the high temperatures and salinity levels common in many reservoirs. The latter is technically more satisfactory though there are problems of microgel formation causing blocking at the injection face,  
25 degradation may take place in the reservoir and, once again, the material is expensive.

It has been proposed to use microorganism derived surfactants for EOR. This technique is known as microbially enhanced oil recovery (MEOR).

30 The production of surface active agents by microorganisms has been recognised for a number of years. These biosurfactant compounds almost universally contain a lipid component and are usually glycolipids. Other classes of biosurfactants are

lipopeptides, phospholipids, fatty acids and neutral lipids.

There are several potential advantages in using MEOR processes. These include, the wide range of compounds with useful properties for EOR that can be produced by microbial biosynthesis, cost, and the ability to produce biometabolites within the reservoir and thus decrease the amount of chemical surfactants required.

Current MEOR techniques have involved the injection and establishment of an exogenous microbial population in an oil reservoir. This population is supplied with nutrients such as molasses or other fermentable sugars, a source of nitrogen and mineral salts as additives to the water flood employed for secondary oil removal. Other hydrocarbon substrates have been researched, however the economic advantage of fermentable sugars have made them the preferred substrate.

The development of methods utilizing the injection of microorganisms into oil reservoirs has been limited by the conditions which prevail in oil reservoirs. In particular, small and variable reservoir pore sizes together with extremely high temperatures, salinity/ionic strengths and pressures have severely limited the type, range and number of microorganisms that can be injected. Further, and of equal significance, is the highly reduced environment present in many reservoirs. The absence of oxygen severely limits the range of biometabolites that can be synthesized by organisms introduced into oil reservoirs.

A disadvantage of the microorganisms utilized in current MEOR technology is that they may tend to

occlude the reservoir pores due to their large cell volume caused by the rich nutrient conditions provided in the waterflood. These large cells may also find it difficult to penetrate small pores in  
5 the rock.

We have surprisingly found that the surface active properties of those microorganisms which are adapted to grow in oil well conditions, may be enhanced by subjecting the microorganisms to nutrient  
10 limiting conditions. Such microorganisms are especially useful in MEOR.

Accordingly, the present invention contemplates a method for recovering oil from a reservoir comprising increasing the population of endogenous  
15 microorganisms in said reservoir, said microorganisms having surface active properties, to a level sufficient to effect enhanced oil recovery. Enhanced oil recovery is effected, in one aspect of the present invention, under pressure by reducing  
20 interfacial tension of oil in said reservoir.

More particularly, one aspect of the present invention contemplates a method for recovering oil from a reservoir comprising the steps of:

(a) isolating endogenous microorganisms from  
25 said reservoir;

(b) ascertaining the limiting nutrient(s) for growth of said microorganisms;

(c) supplying an effective amount of said nutrients(s) to said reservoir for a time and under  
30 conditions sufficient to effect an increase in population of endogenous microorganisms;

(d) maintaining said reservoir for a time and under nutrient limiting conditions sufficient to enhance surface active properties of said microorganisms; and

(e)subjecting said reservoir to oil recovery means.

Another aspect of the present invention contemplates a method for recovering oil from a  
5 reservoir comprising the steps of:

(a) isolating endogenous microorganisms from said reservoir;

(b) ascertaining the limiting nutrients(s) for growth of said microorganisms;

10 (c) growing said microorganisms under conditions sufficient to increase their population level;

(d) supplying an effective amount of said nutrient(s) together with said microorganisms to reservoir for a time and under conditions sufficient  
15 to effect an increase in population of endogenous microorganisms in said reservoir;

(e) maintaining said reservoir for a time and under conditions sufficient to enhance surface active properties of the microorganisms in said reservoir;

20 (f) subjecting said reservoir to oil recovery means.

According to a further aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of enhancing the surface active properties of microorganisms,  
25 which comprises subjecting microorganisms adapted to grow in oil well conditions to one or more cycles of growth in a nutrient medium followed by nutrient limitation.

In yet a further aspect of the present invention,  
30 there is provided a method of enhanced oil recovery from an oil reservoir which comprises:

(a) providing microorganisms from the reservoir or other source which may be adapted to oil reservoir conditions;

(b) placing the microorganisms in a nutrient medium to promote growth thereof;

(c) subjecting the microorganisms to one or more cycles of nutrient limitation;

(d) introducing the microorganisms to the reservoir;

5 (e) recovering oil from the reservoir.

Figure 1 is a graphical representation of production of oil in barrels per day (BPD(+)) at Alton-3 well after injection of production water without nutrients.

10 Figure 2 is a graphical representation of production of oil in barrels per day (BPD(+)) at Alton-3 well after injection of production water with nutrients.

The invention may particularly be practised by  
15 removing a sample of microorganisms together with a sample of the liquid within the reservoir in which the microorganisms are resident, and analysing the liquid to predetermine likely growth limiting nutrients. "Nutrients" is used in its broadest sense  
20 and includes inorganic or organic compounds required by a microorganism for growth or which facilitates growth. Inorganic compounds contemplated herein includes those containing at least one of the following elements: C, H, O, P, N, S, Mg, Fe, or Ca.  
25 By way of exemplification only, such inorganic compounds include  $\text{PO}_4^{2-}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4^+$ ,  $\text{NO}_2^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , and  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  amongst others. Once determined, the nutrient(s) found to be limiting are then added to the reservoir for a time and under  
30 conditions to permit growth of endogenous microorganisms.

At the time of sampling, the amount of assimilative organic carbon is also determined. More particularly, the reservoir is sampled to determine if, once a limiting nutrient is supplied, the endogenous microorganism could grow and obtain carbon and energy from endogenous organic compounds.



Standard techniques in the art, such as, spectrophotometry, N.M.R. infra red , HPLC, gas chromatography, chemical tests, and the like, are used to determine the available carbon containing compounds. If required, a carbon source is supplied along with the limiting nutrient. In a preferred embodiment, a non-glucose carbon compound is used since the glucose and compounds comprising glucose units (e.g. molasses), have been found not to enhance the surface active properties of the endogenous microorganism following their growth on such compounds. An example of a preferred non-glucose carbon source is peptone and the like.

Further, an assessment may be made as to the numbers of microorganisms present. If a large number of microorganisms is present, it is possible then to simply add the missing nutrients directly to the reservoir to stimulate growth for a period of time. On the other hand, where there are only a small number of microorganisms present, the microorganisms may be grown such as in the laboratory or, where appropriate, on site, in an appropriate medium in which the missing nutrients are provided, in order to increase the numbers thereof. It is particularly preferred if the microorganisms are subjected to more than one cycle in which nutrients are added to effect growth, followed by subjecting the microorganisms to conditions in which they are again nutrient deficient. After this procedure, the microorganisms are introduced to the reservoir. During each aforementioned cycle of growth promotion and growth inhibition, analysis may be performed to determine whether the microorganisms are in a state of growth. Analysis of fatty acid configuration by HPLC or GLC is particularly convenient as the degree of

saturation and cis/trans configuration of membrane lipids appears to alter as growth is retarded in response to nutrient limitation.

Prior methods of enhanced oil recovery using  
5 microorganisms are derived from the assumption that certain strains of microorganisms are inherently more suited to the production of surfactants than others and that what is required is to isolate from among all of the known microorganisms those best able to  
10 produce surfactants. On the other hand, the present invention is based on the realisation that surfactant properties are an inherent or induceable characteristics of microorganisms within oil reservoirs, and that surface active properties are  
15 dependent upon the physical condition of the microorganisms themselves. Therefore, by surface active property is meant the property of a microorganism which reduces surface tension and said property may be endogenous or exogenous to the cell  
20 and may include the production of a surfactant. Microorganisms may not only possess surface properties, but may also cause gas production which may facilitate oil recovery. Furthermore, with the prior methods, the introduced microorganisms may not  
25 be well suited to survival in the particular environment of the reservoir in question, which environment differs very considerably in terms of for example, temperature, pressure, acidity or the like. Thus, the prospects for successful propagation of the  
30 microorganisms through the reservoir are not good. In a preferred aspect of the present invention, where use is made of microorganisms already existing in the reservoir, it is known from the beginning that these are capable of surviving in the environment of the reservoir so that, when returned, they can be expected to survive and to do so without serious risk

of adverse environmental sequences attendant upon the introduction of exogenous microorganisms.

Generally too, it will be the case that microorganisms within an oil reservoir are in a nutrient deprived state, since conditions in oil reservoirs are generally not conducive to the thriving of a microorganism population. The microorganisms occupy the boundary between oil and water phases within the reservoir and will be physically located around that boundary in accordance with whether they are nutrient starved or not. As nutrient deprivation sets in, we have found that the microorganisms become more hydrophobic. This effect is associated in a fashion not fully understood with the surfactant-like properties of the microorganisms. On the one hand, it is possible that the microorganism will in this condition manufacture and secrete surface active substances, or the cells of the microorganism may themselves assume a hydrophobic or surfactant-like character. Thus, the microorganism cells themselves, viable, dormant or possibly after death of the microorganism, will become the surface-active agent.

In the case where a sample, on analysis after removal from an oil reservoir, is determined as having numerous organisms (i.e. greater than about  $10^3$  cells per millilitre), it is assumed that there are sufficient organisms in the reservoir to provide adequate surfactant production when practicing the invention. In that instance, those nutrients which limit microbial growth are supplied to the reservoir, whereafter the microorganisms are subjected to at least one cycle of nutrient limitation (by allowing the microorganism to deplete nutrients followed by

supply of the depleted nutrients) whereby the surface active properties of the microorganisms are increased and oil recovery enhanced.

In practising the method of the invention where  
5 microorganisms are removed from an oil reservoir and subsequently returned to facilitate oil recovery, the removal of the microorganisms from the reservoir may be carried out in any conventional manner. Normally, a sample is retrieved from the reservoir via the well  
10 casing. The sample includes the formation water and oil in the reservoir together with the microorganisms. The retrieved sample is analysed utilizing methods known per se to persons skilled in the art, for example, atomic absorption  
15 spectrophotometry, to determine the nutrient(s) which appear to be limiting the growth of the organisms. Typically, such an analysis will show an absence of nitrogen, such as nitrates, and an absence of phosphates. The organisms are then grown up in a  
20 nutrient medium supplying the previously determined missing ingredients. Growth of the microorganisms in a range of nutrient media may be determined, and that medium which provides maximal bacterial growth selected for microorganism culture. During culture  
25 of the microorganisms, samples are removed and tested for surfactant properties. For example, a test may be made to determine the ability to reduce interfacial tension as effected by the organisms and a two-way comparison schedule established indicating  
30 the relationship between nutrient depletion and resultant surfactant properties of the organisms. Nutrient depletion may occur naturally as nutrients are consumed through microbial metabolism or may be effected by taking the organisms and placing them in

a different medium, such as placing them in the medium originally withdrawn from the reservoir.

The microorganisms are generally subjected to several cycles of nutrient addition and nutrient  
5 depletion so as to maximise surfactant properties, which can be readily ascertained by measuring a reduction in interfacial tension caused by the microorganisms. Once the desired number of organisms have been produced which are sufficiently nutrient  
10 depleted to give optimal effects insofar as reduction in interfacial tension is concerned, the organisms are introduced into the oil reservoir. The microorganisms may be introduced through the well casing whereafter they spread from the point of  
15 introduction through the reservoir. The microorganisms permeate rock pores to act as surfactants to enable the trapped oil in the rock material to be readily flushed by outgoing water from a well.

20 It is important to note that microorganisms subjected to cycles of nutrient addition and depletion have a considerably smaller cell volume than those microorganisms which are subjected only to conditions of nutrient addition. A cell volume  
25 reduction of 70% is not uncommon. Additionally, such microorganisms may have a smaller cell volume than those microorganisms not removed from the well for processing. Microorganisms having a requisite small cell volume are able to penetrate rock pores, which  
30 when coupled with the surfactant properties of the microorganisms facilitates oil recovery.

Microorganisms which have surfactant properties and are able to survive the conditions in oil reservoirs, but which are not native to a particular

reservoir in which they are proposed to be introduced, may be subject to cycles of nutrient addition and depletion to enhance their surface active properties in the manner described. Such  
5 microorganisms may then be added to an oil well to enhance oil recovery.

In accordance with the present invention it was surprisingly discovered that production water provided an adequate aqueous base in which the  
10 desired nutrients could be dissolved and/or microorganisms introduced prior to introduction into the reservoir. By "production water" is meant the aqueous phase of an oil-aqueous mixture emitted from a reservoir. Production water may also be referred  
15 to as co-produced water. The production water is buffered to be compatible to the ecology of the reservoir and frequently, carbonate or bicarbonate is used to prepare the buffering conditions. The choice of buffering compound is dependent on the ecological  
20 pH of the reservoir which can, in effect, range from pH 2-10. In a preferred method, the desired nutrient(s), optionally including carbon source and/or exogenous microorganisms, are added to production water and injected into the reservoir  
25 under conditions and for a time sufficient in accordance with this invention. The emitted aqueous-oil mixture is collected and the phases separated. The aqueous phase is collected and analysed to determine the concentration of  
30 nutrients(s), carbon source and/or microorganisms originally contained therein. If necessary, the concentration(s) of these additives are adjusted accordingly and the buffering capacity is also adjusted if necessary before being injected back into the reservoir where the cycle is repeated.

In accordance with the present invention, it was further surprisingly discovered that the order in which the components were added to production water made a significant difference to the end result. It is advisable, therefore, to test individual reservoirs using sandpacks and production water to which the components listed in Example 3 ("Castenholtz" medium) have been added in varying orders.

By reservoir as used herein is meant any locus of deposit. Additionally, "oil recovery means" refers to standard oil recovery practices such as, but not limited to, use of water or gas to generate pressure.

Further details of methods for enhancing surface active properties of microorganisms and the recovery of oil using microorganisms are given in the following non-limiting examples.

#### EXAMPLE 1

This example demonstrates the dramatic decrease in interfacial tension (IT) which can be achieved by applying successive nutrient rich and nutrient limited growth cycles. Interfacial tension is measured in milliNewtons per metre.

A culture of Acinetobacter calcoaceticus with non-detectable surfactant production was inoculated into 1/2 strength NB (nutrient broth) with and without added paraffin. 1/2 strength NB had been shown in previous experiments to be optimal nutrient depletion for surfactant production. All cultures were incubated at 32°C overnight. The interfacial tension of the media was then measured against hexadecane using the drop formation method at 24, 48 and 96 hours incubation.

	Media	Interfacial tension
5	Controls	
	1/2 NB	29.56
	1/2 NB + paraffin	27.32
	24 hours	
	1/2 NB + culture	30.16
10	1/2 NB + paraffin + culture	29.54
	48 hours	
	1/2 NB + culture	26.94
	1/2 NB + paraffin + culture	29.56
	96 hours	
	1/2 NB + culture	29.71
	1/2 NB + paraffin + culture	29.97

15 The culture from the 1/2 NB was then subcultured into fresh media and the test repeated.

	Media	Interfacial tension
20	24 hours	
	1/2 NB + culture	29.56
	1/2 NB + paraffin + culture	27.32
25	48 hours	
	1/2 NB + culture	14.66
	1/2 NB + paraffin + culture	14.04
	96 hours	
	1/2 NB + culture	10.03
	1/2 NB + paraffin + culture	8.60

30 The culture for the 1/2 NB + paraffin + culture was subcultured into fresh media and the test repeated.

	Media	Interfacial tension
35	24 hours	
	1/2 NB + culture	23.25
	1/2 NB + paraffin + culture	20.91
	48 hours	
	1/2 NB + culture	12.25
	1/2 NB + paraffin + culture	9.45
40	96 hours	
	1/2 NB + culture	10.12
	1/2 NB + paraffin + culture	6.42



This phenomena was reproduced using a number of mesophilic and thermophilic bacterial species including Pseudomonas aeruginosa Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bacillus acidocaldarius, Thermus thermophilus and Thermus aquaticus.

#### EXAMPLE 2

This example demonstrates the influence of hydrocarbons in this case paraffin on reduction in interfacial tension.

A culture of Thermus aquaticus (T.aq) was inoculated into the media of Castenholtz (Castenholtz, R.W. (1969), Bacteriol. Rev. 33, 476). One tube of each pair of cultures was covered with paraffin. The cultures were then incubated at 70°C and the interfacial tension measured against hexadecane using the drop formation method.

	Culture	Incubation period (days)	Interfacial tension
20			
	T.aq	2	43.96
		5	45.41
		7	44.97
25		20	40.28
	T.aq + paraffin	2	45.58
		5	42.34
		7	39.40
30		20	19.87

Cultures with the lowest interfacial tensions were subcultured to fresh media and subjected to three further cycles of nutrient limitation and addition. The results for the fourth nutrient limitation cycle was as follows:

Culture	Incubation period (days)	Interfacial tension
10 T.aq	6	35.96
	11	33.94
	28	35.98
	32	32.23
15 T.aq + paraffin	6	33.34
	11	28.93
	25	8.25
	32	5.23

20

### EXAMPLE 3

This example relates to tests effected on a sample of formation water and oil, retrieved from an oil well known as Alton in the Surat Basin of Southern Queensland, Australia.

25

#### Sampling Protocol for Reservoir Fluids:

##### I. Samples for Microbiological Investigations.

The major objectives during sampling were to collect a specimen representative of the reservoir fluids and to minimize mixing sample with air. Thus, specimens from Alton were collected from a sample point at the wellhead using the following protocol.

30

1. The sample was removed using 50 ml. plastic disposable syringes. The syringes were filled completely with sample so that air was not introduced during aspiration.

35

2. The needle on the sample syringe was inserted

through the rubber septum of a sample bottle containing 0.1 ml. of 0.1% resazurin (redox indicator).

3. A second needle (B) was inserted just through the septum.

5        4. Reservoir fluids were injected into the sample bottle until they sprayed out through the needle B. When this occurred needle B was removed quickly. Subsequently the syringe and needle were removed.

10       5. Any samples which remained pink for more than 30 minutes after injection had 0.2 ml. aliquots of 10%  $\text{Na}_2\text{S} \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$  added until the solution became colourless.

15       6. Samples were transported immediately to the laboratory for analysis.

## II. Samples for Chemical Investigations.

Samples for chemical analysis were collected according to standard methodologies, e.g. Collins A.G. (1975), Geochemistry of oilfield waters.

20       Developments in science series No. 1, Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, New York.

### Initial Assessment of Samples:

#### I. Microbiological

25       Microorganisms in samples containing oil and water were visualised using phase microscopy and stains e.g. Gram. A variety of microorganisms including a number of highly motile forms were observed. Species were grouped according to differences in morphology  
30       and staining characteristics. The predominant forms were two different bacilli, the first was relatively shorter and wider than the second. The shorter organism on

occasions had swollen regions especially in the polar regions, the longer bacilli sometimes formed short chains or clumps. The third type of organism was a small Gram positive coccus. The number of organisms that could be visualized was proportional to the amount of oil in the sample examined.

## II. Chemical

The chemical nature of the oil reservoir water was assessed using a number of analyses on water and oil/water samples. These analyses were conducted using standard techniques, e.g. Collins A.G. (1975), Geochemistry of oilfield waters, Developments in science series No. 1. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, New York; and American Petroleum Institute (1986).. These techniques include atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS), flame photometry, and the use of selective ion electrodes. Recommended practice for analysis of oilfield waters. Representative of the results of analyses were the following:

<u>Analysis</u>	<u>mg./l</u>	<u>Analysis</u>	<u>mg./l</u>
Sodium	350	Bicarbonate	800
Calcium	3.5	Carbonate	50
Potassium	1.5	Chloride	115
Magnesium	1.0	Sulphate	4.5
Zinc	0.2	Nitrate	0.1
Iron	Tr.	Phosphate	Tr.
Manganese	Tr.	pH	8.4
Tr. - Trace			

It was concluded that these results were fairly typical of those obtained from fresh artesian water in Lower Cretaceous-Jurassic aquifers in the area of the Surat Basin surrounding the Alton well.

**Formulation of Bacterial Growth Media:**

Several carbon sources were tested for their ability to enhance microbial growth in the oil/water samples. These included varying concentrations of  
5 lactate, acetate, propionate, palmitate, benzoate, formate, hexadecane, hexadecene,  $C_4C_6C_8$  mix and  $H_2/CO_2$ /Acetate. None of the carbon sources tested enhanced microbial growth.

From these results and the results of the  
10 chemical analyses of the Alton water sample it was deduced that nitrate and phosphate, potentially essential nutrients, virtually were depleted. A series of experiments was undertaken to determine whether serial addition and depletion of these  
15 nutrients would result in the production of surface active substances. Concurrent studies were undertaken to determine whether the addition of recognized bacterial growth stimulants such as peptone and yeast extract would enhance the  
20 production of surface active properties. Finally, the media exhibiting potentially desirable characteristics were tested for the recovery of Alton oil from porous material.

**I. Initial Growth Media**

25 Formulation of the initial growth media was based on the results of the chemical analyses and the previous observation that bacteria in the Alton reservoir required bicarbonate/carbonate buffering.

**Materials and Methods:**

30 125 ml. Wheaton bottles were filled with 25 ml. of Alton oil and 75 ml. of the media under test. The bottles were then sealed and capped. A sterilized sample of Alton oil was inoculated into unsupplemented media as a control. Constituents of the basic media and the supplements added are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1: Chemical Constituents of Alton Reservoir Fluids**

Basic media:		
5	Constituent	mg./l
	Ammonium nitrate	500
	Nitrilotriacetic acid	100
	Calcium chloride (dihydrated)	51.3
	Magnesium carbonate (hydrated)	40
10	Sodium nitrate	689
	Potassium nitrate	103
	di Sodium hydrogen phosphate	280
Trace elements:		
15	Ferric chloride	0.28
	Manganese chloride	2.6
	Zinc chloride	0.24
	Boric acid	0.5
	Copper acetate	0.02
	Sodium molybdate	0.025
20	Other nutrients: Nutrient	Final Con- centration
	Sodium carbonate	0.5 %
25	Yeast extract	0.1 %
	Peptone (beef derived)	0.1 %

From these components, four culture media and a control were prepared. All culture media and the control contained basic media and sodium carbonate. The supplements added were shown in Table 2.

**Table 2: Initial Formulation of Growth Media**

Media #	Supplement
35	1
	Trace elements, yeast extract, peptone
	2
	Yeast extract, peptone
	3
	Trace elements, peptone
	4
	Peptone
40	Control
	Nil

All bottles were chemically reduced using 0.5 ml. of 0.5% Sodium sulphide solution and the anaerobic nature of the bottles verified by the presence of reduced 0.1% resazurin. Incubation temperature was  
 5 set at about 72°C which approximates the temperature of Alton reservoir.

Samples were monitored weekly for growth and interfacial tension. Growth was measured semi-quantitatively using microscopy. Interfacial  
 10 tension was measured against hexadecane using the drop formation technique (Harkins, M.E. and Brown, B., (1919) J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 41, p. 499). This technique involves expelling a sample from a syringe into a solution of hexadecane. The volume of liquid  
 15 required for drop formation is ascertained, and interfacial tension measured using standard formulae.

#### Results:

There was no significant difference in semi-quantitative growth between media.

20

**Table 3: Formulation of Growth Media**

Culture	Initial	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4
Media 1	22.9	20.1	22.4	22.4	22.5
Media 2	24.5	20.3	23.8	21.5	21.8
25 Media 3	24.3	19.3	24.8	22.5	21.5
Media 4	24.3	19.4	24.1	21.5	19.1
Media (no oil)	29.3				

30

This pattern is consistent with a nutrient limited state after initial inoculation (lag phase, Week 1) followed by an active growth period (exponential phase, Week 2). A further nutrient

limited state occurs on subsequent proliferation (stationary phase, Weeks 3 and 4). Media 1 and 2 were very rich media. Hence, nutrient depletion was only partial at Week 4 in these media.

5 Conclusion:

1. The addition of yeast extract was detrimental to interfacial tension reduction in this time frame.
2. The addition of the trace element solution did not enhance microbial growth nor interfacial tension  
10 reduction.

As a result of these experiments, Media #4 was chosen for oil recovery experiments.

Oil Recovery from Sandpacks

- 15 The recover of residual oil from sandpacks was investigated as follows:

Materials:

- |    |            |   |
|----|------------|---|
| 20 | Sand       | May & Baker, Batch MX 6210, acid-washed, mediumfine, Sterilized for 10hrs at 170°C. Grain size: not more than 35% passes through a 300 micron sieve, and not more than 20% passes through a 150 micron sieve. |
| 25 | Sand/Oil   | 5 ml. of oil per 34 g of sand.  |
|    | Media      | Media # 4 (as above).   |
|    | Test tubes | Pyrex 9827.   |
| 30 | Seals      | Subaseals No. 33 + cable ties.  |

Methods:

1. Sand/oil mixture. Sand and oil were mixed in  
35 one batch using the ratio of 5 ml. of oil to 34 g. of sand. This sand/oil ratio had previously been determined by waterflooding sand until no further residual oil was recovered. Tubes containing the sand/oil mixture were packed using an ultrasonic bath for 10 minutes.



2. The equivalent by weight of 5 ml. of oil and 34 g. of sand/oil mixture was added to each tube. In addition 22 ml. of Media # 4 with and without carbonate was added to each tube. The tubes were capped and the caps secured with a tie.

3. All tubes were incubated at 72°C in a hot air oven.

4. The amount of oil recovered from the sandpacks was determined by the weight difference of the tube after any oil on the surface of the water was removed by syringe.

5. At the completion of the experiment the amount of oil remaining in the sand was verified by extraction with an organic solvent comprising 87% chloroform and 13% methanol in a soxhlet apparatus.

#### Results:

Table 4: Recovery of Oil from Sandpacks

	Mixture	Week 3	Week 6	Week 9	Total	% Recovery
20	Media	0.775	0.559	0.231	1.566	36.4
	SDS	1.541	0.029	0.011	1.579	36.7

#### Discussion:

As microbiological enhancement of oil recovery using Media # 4 was equivalent to that achieved using a commercial surfactant this medium was adopted for final testing.

#### High Pressure and High Temperature Experiments

30 The final phase of preliminary experimentation was to analyse oil recovery under simulated Alton reservoir conditions.

### Materials and Methods:

- The recovery of residual oil under conditions prevailing in the Alton reservoir was tested using an apparatus especially designed for that purpose. It
- 5 comprises a metal tube inside a water jacket. The tube was packed with porous material and sample then sealed. Temperature is maintained by a circulating system in which  $H_2O$  is pumped through a silicon oil bath and around the water jacket of the core.
- 10 Temperature is regulated at  $73^\circ C$  by a thermostat. Initial pressure of approximately 5000 kPa, is generated by an Haskell hydraulic pump.
1. Oil and sand as described above were mixed until there was an excess of oil. This mixture was
- 15 drained and packed into the stainless steel column. The stainless steel column was then transferred into the core of the high pressure/high temperature cell. The column was then placed under pressure and brought up to temperature.
- 20 2. Once the packed sand/oil column had equilibrated, the column was flooded at regular intervals with water. This process was repeated until no further oil recovered from the column.
3. Media # 4 which had been adjusted to reflect
- 25 the chemical equilibria pertaining in the Alton reservoir was introduced into the column until it displaced the water. The column was then sealed and maintained at the relevant temperature and pressure.
4. Samples were removed from the column and oil
- 30 release monitored. At this time the nutritional status of the bacteria is tested and nutrient flooding repeated or withheld according to the result

5. If residual oil was not displaced during this process, the column was inoculated with an enriched culture of Alton reservoir bacteria which have been nutritionally deprived in the laboratory. The column is released and steps 4 and 5 repeated until no further residual oil is retrieved.

Media:

The media utilised in this test was comprised of production water from the Alton reservoir supplemented with the following chemicals.

	Chemical	g./10001
15	Sol <sup>NA</sup> Nitritotriacetic acid	100
	Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	280
	NH <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	1087
	CaCl <sub>2</sub> ·2H <sub>2</sub> O	51
	MgCO <sub>3</sub>	40
	NaNO <sub>3</sub>	69
	KNO <sub>3</sub>	103
20	Peptone	1000
	Sol <sup>NB</sup> NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	3000

25 Solution A and Solution B are mixed together, then adjusted to pH 8.4 using either concentrated hydrochloric acid or magnesium carbonate. The peptone is then added. This media is filter sterilized and preheated to 73°C prior to flooding  
30 the column.

Results:

The sand/oil mixture was comprised of 751 g. of sand and 123 ml. of oil. During water flooding 98 ml. of oil was recovered. A nutrient flood was introduced after 2 weeks.

Table 5: Recovery of Oil from Reservoir Simulator

	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3
IT (Interfacial tension)	18.5	12.8	17.1
pH	9.32	9.45	8.86
Oil recovered (ml)	1.5	3.4	1.1
Total oil remaining in column:	123 - 98 = 25 ml.		
Oil recovered:	6 ml.		
% additional residual oil recovered:	24 %		

## EXAMPLE 4a

The results of control field test (i.e. production water without nutrients) are presented below. The field test was conducted at the Alton-3 well in Queensland, Australia. The results are presented graphically (Fig. 1) and clearly show that after shut out in September, 1988, production water alone did not result in enhanced oil recovery.

## EXAMPLE 4b

A field test was carried out as outlined below, and involved production water plus nutrients. Results are shown in Figure 2.

In general, buffered production water containing the nutrients given in Example 3 was added to the

well and the well "shut in" i.e. closed, for a period of about three weeks. At the end of that time, the well was brought back into production and assayed for oil production.

5

# 1. INJECTION PROGRAM

## (a) Well status

Producing Beam Pump

10

Production Casing: 7" O.D.(complete string)

From bottom to top: 2 jts 26# J-55

37 jts 29# N-80

43 jts 23# S-95

87 jts 26# J-55

15

Production Tubing: 2-7/8", 6.5 ppf, EUE conn  
J-55 grade

Slotted Liner: 6032 ft to 6063 ft RKB

20

6073 ft to 6104 ft RKB

Plug Back Total Depth: 6109 ft RKB

## (b) Programme

25

1.0 Move ring onto location. Rig up. Install  
kill line on annulus side. Kill well  
utilising bore water.

30

2.0 Unseat pump. Pull sucker rod string.

3.0 Nipple down Xmas tree. Install BOP's c/w  
2-7/8" pipe rams.

28

- 4.0 Unseat tubing anchor catcher and RIH with 2-7/8" string tag PBTD to confirm presence or absence of fill on bottom.

5 Note: Completion BHA from last recompletion is as follows: (Bottom to top)

	LENGTH (FT)	TOP SET AT (FT RKB)
10 3" O.D. Gas Anchor	25.6'	5972.03
Pump Seat Nipple	1.56	5970.47
2-7/8" x 7" TAC	2.56	5967.91
190 jts, 2-7/7" Tubing	5953.31	14.60

15

Completion landed at 5997.64 ft RKB

- 5.0 PBTD tagged at or below bottom shot, POOH with completion string. Strap out of hole

20

- 6.0 RIH with 7" RTTS on 2-7/8" tubing workstring c/w 2 joints of 2-7/8" tubing stinger below the RTTS. Land bottom of stinger at top shot.

25

- 7.0 Circulate tubing over to mix water (approx 35 bbls). Set RTTS. Commence injection fluids schedule as follows:

- 30 approx 53 bbls mix water (nutrient solution)  
approx 35 bbls produced water displacement

## Notes:

- 5 (i) fluid injection at 0.5 bbl/min at 2200 PSIG.
- 10 (ii) a total of 15,000 litres (94.3 bbls) of nutrient solution was prepared. Allowing for 7% tank bottoms, this gave a pumpable volume of about 86 bbls; 25 bbls was injected while the nutrient mix was optimized, the remainder was injected over approximately 3 hours after 9-12 hours exposure to the atmosphere and subsequent pH rectification.
- 15 (iii) all fluid injected into formation was filtered through 28 and 10 micron filters.
- 20 8.0 Unseat packer and POOH.
- 9.0 Recomplete well as per previous completion string.
- 25 10.0 Nipple down BOP's. N/U Xmas tree.
- 11.0 Rig down and move off location.
- 12.0 Re-run sucker rod string. Space out and land pump in PSN. Put well on pump.
- 30

## 2. RESULTS

Shut in was on January 26, 1989 and shut out was on February 17, 1989.

The following results were obtained.

5

10

Date	17/2	18/2	19/2	20/2	21/2	22/2	23/2	24/2	25/2	26/2	27/2	28/2	1/3
Gross BPD	156	148	156	143	143	129	128	129	122	120	120	117	116
Oil	-	-	-	-	20	11	13	14.2	13.3	13.8	14.2	14.5	14.9

15

The results clearly demonstrate an enhanced recovery of oil from the reservoir.

20

The described arrangement has been advanced merely by way of explanation and many modifications may be made thereto without departing from the spirit  
25 and scope of the invention which includes every novel feature and combination of novel features herein disclosed.

30



## CLAIMS:

1. A method for recovering oil from a reservoir comprising increasing the population of endogenous microorganisms in said reservoir, said microorganisms having surface active properties, to a level sufficient to effect enhanced oil recovery.
2. The method according to claim 1 comprising the further step of maintaining the reservoir for a time and under nutrient limiting conditions sufficient for the increased population of endogenous microorganisms to develop surface active properties.
3. The method according to claim 1, wherein the increase in population of said endogenous microorganisms is effected by adding an effective amount of one or more nutrients for a time sufficient to increase said population of microorganisms.
4. The method according to claim 3, wherein said nutrient is an inorganic molecule.
5. The method according to claim 4, wherein said inorganic molecule comprises at least one of the following elements: C, H, O, P, N, S, Mg, Fe or Ca.
6. The method according to claim 3, wherein the nutrient further comprises a non-glucose carbon source.
7. The method according to claim 6, wherein said non-glucose carbon source comprises peptone.

8. The method according to claim 4, wherein the nutrient to be added is determined by the steps comprising:

- a) isolating endogenous microorganisms from the reservoir from which oil is to be recovered; and
- b) ascertaining the limiting nutrient(s) for growth of said microorganisms.

9. A method for recovering oil from a reservoir comprising the steps of:

- a) isolating endogenous microorganisms from said reservoir;
- b) ascertaining the limiting nutrient(s) for growth of said microorganisms;
- c) supplying an effective amount of said nutrient(s) to said reservoir for a time and under conditions sufficient to effect an increase in population of endogenous microorganisms;
- d) maintaining said reservoir for a time and under nutrient limiting conditions sufficient to enhance surface active properties of said microorganisms; and
- e) subjecting said reservoir to oil recovery means.

10. The method according to claim 9, wherein the nutrient comprises an inorganic compound having at least one of the following elements: C, H, O, P, N, S, Mg, Fe, or Ca.

11. The method according to claim 9, wherein said nutrient comprises a non-glucose carbon source.

12. The method according to claim 11, wherein said non-glucose carbon source comprises peptone.

13. A method for recovering oil from a reservoir comprising the steps of:

a) isolating endogenous microorganisms from said reservoir;

b) ascertaining the limiting nutrient(s) for growth of said microorganisms;

c) growing said microorganisms under conditions sufficient to increase their population level;

d) supplying an effective amount of said nutrient(s) together with said microorganisms to said reservoir for a time and under conditions sufficient to effect an increase in population of endogenous microorganisms in said reservoir;

e) maintaining said reservoir for a time and under conditions sufficient to enhance surface active properties of the microorganisms in said reservoir; and

f) subjecting said reservoir to oil recovery means.

14. The method according to claim 13, wherein in step c), the microorganisms are grown for one or more cycles of growth in a nutrient medium followed by nutrient limitation.

15. The method according to claim 13, wherein said nutrient is an inorganic molecule.

16. The method according to claim 15, wherein said inorganic molecule comprises at least one of the following elements: C, H, O, P, N, S, Mg, Fe, or Ca.

17. The method according to claim 13, wherein said nutrient comprises a non-glucose carbon source.

18. The method according to claim 17, wherein said non-glucose carbon source comprises peptone.

19. A method of enhancing the surface active properties of microorganisms, which comprises subjecting microorganisms to one or more cycles of growth in a nutrient medium followed by nutrient limitation.

20. The method according to claim 19 wherein the microorganisms are optionally further adapted to grow in oil well conditions.

21. A method of enhanced oil recovery from a oil reservoir which comprises:

- a) providing microorganisms from the reservoir or other source which may be adapted to oil reservoir conditions;
- b) placing the microorganisms in a nutrient medium to promote growth thereof;
- c) subjecting the microorganisms to one or more cycles of nutrient limitation;
- d) introducing the microorganisms to the reservoir; and
- e) recovering oil from the reservoir.

22. A method for recovering oil from a reservoir comprising increasing the population of endogenous microorganisms in said reservoir, said microorganisms having surface active properties, to a level sufficient to effect enhanced oil recovery under pressure by reducing interfacial tension of oil in said reservoir.

23. The method according to any one of the preceding claims wherein nutrients and/or microorganisms are introduced into said reservoir in production water.


24. The method according to claim 23 wherein said production water is repeatedly cycled into a reservoir wherein one cycle comprises adding to said production water the required effective amount of nutrients and/or microorganisms and then introducing same into a reservoir for a time and under condition sufficient to effect enhanced oil recovery, recovering said production water from said recovered oil and determine levels of said nutrients and/or microorganisms therein, replenishing levels thereof and re-introducing said production water containing replenished levels of said nutrients and/or microorganisms into said reservoir.

## AMENDED CLAIMS


[received by the International Bureau on 22 August 1989 (22.09.89)  
original claim 3 amended; other claims unchanged (1 page)]

1. A method for recovering oil from a reservoir comprising increasing the population of endogenous microorganisms in said reservoir, said microorganisms having surface active properties, to a level sufficient to effect enhanced oil recovery.
2. The method according to claim 1 comprising the further step of maintaining the reservoir for a time and under nutrient limiting conditions sufficient for the increased population of endogenous microorganisms to develop surface active properties.
3. (Amended) The method according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the increase in population of said endogenous microorganisms is effected by adding an effective amount of one or more nutrients for a time sufficient to increase said population of microorganisms.
4. The method according to claim 3, wherein said nutrient is an inorganic molecule.
5. The method according to claim 4, wherein said inorganic molecule comprises at least one of the following elements: C, H, O, P, N, S, Mg, Fe or Ca.
6. The method according to claim 3, wherein the nutrient further comprises a non-glucose carbon source.
7. The method according to claim 6, wherein said non-glucose carbon source comprises peptone.

1/2

FIGURE 1

2/2

FIGURE 2



## I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) 6

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC

Int. Cl.<sup>4</sup> E21B 43/22, C12N 1/26

## II. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum Documentation Searched 7

Classification System | Classification Symbols

IPC<sup>4</sup> | E21B 43/22, C12N 1/26Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation  
to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched 8

AU: IPC as above

## III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT 9

Category*	Citation of Document, <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12	Relevant to Claim No 13
-----------	--	----------------------------

X,Y	US,A,4558739 (MCINERNEY et al) 17 December 1985 (17.12.85)	(1-24)
Y	US,A,4450908 (HITZMAN) 29 May 1984 (29.05.84)	(1-24)
Y	US,A,2975835 (BOND) 21 March 1961 (21.03.61)	(1-24)
Y	US,A,4460043 (THOMPSON et al) 17 July 1984 (17.07.84)	(1-24)
Y	US,A,4561500 (THOMPSON et al) 31 December 1985 (31.12.85)	(1-24)
Y	US,A,2413278 (ZOBELL) 24 December 1946 (24.12.46)	(1-24)
Y	DD,A,2344016 (WAGNER et al) 8 June 1983 (08.06.83)	(1-24)

\* Special categories of cited documents: 10

\*T\*

Later document published after the  
international filing date or priority date  
and not in conflict with the application but  
cited to understand the principle or theory  
underlying the invention\*A\* document defining the general state of the  
art which is not considered to be of  
particular relevance\*E\* earlier document but published on or  
after the international filing date\*X\* document of particular relevance; the  
claimed invention cannot be considered novel  
or cannot be considered to involve an  
inventive step\*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority  
claim(s) or which is cited to establish the  
publication date of another citation or  
other special reason (as specified)\*Y\* document of particular relevance; the  
claimed invention cannot be considered to  
involve an inventive step when the document  
is combined with one or more other such  
documents, such combination being obvious to  
a person skilled in the art.\*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure,  
use, exhibition or other means\*P\* document published prior to the  
international filing date but later than  
the priority date claimed

\*Z\* document member of the same patent family

## IV. CERTIFICATION

Date of the Actual Completion of the  
International Search  
19 June 1989 (19.06.89)Date of Mailing of this International  
Search Report

3 July 1989

International Searching Authority

Signature of Authorized Officer

Australian Patent Office

